

@BROCK and []

30 April 63

c. UTILITY: BROCK said the feeling still seemed to be that it was likely that UTILITY would leave early in 64. (Comment: there was a long and relaxed discussion about the pros and cons of this and the following para; on the question of UTILITY, BROCK claimed that the thing that made his departure probable was the fact that it now was definite that GLOBKE would be leaving shortly after Adenauer did. I noted that we had the impression that GLOBKE would stay on. BROCK said this definitely was not the case and that GLOBKE most probably would be succeeded by either CARSTENS or GUMMEL; BROCK also mentioned a third possibility, but I can't remember the man's name or position.)

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2E
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2005

BEST AVAILABLE COPY